



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*an*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,577	08/26/2003	Douglas A. Hawks	0140153	5977

25700 7590 01/11/2007  
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP  
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360  
MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691

EXAMINER
----------

TRINH, MICHAEL MANH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2822

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS.

01/11/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/649,577

Applicant(s)

HAWKS ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Trinh

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 16, 17 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 16, 17, 20-27, and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2822

## DETAILED ACTION

\*\*\* This office action is in response to Applicant's Amendment filed on October 20, 2006. Claims 1-8,16,17,20-27, and 28 are pending.

\*\*\* The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. Claims 1-6,16,20-26,27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fjelstad (6,001,671).

Re claim 1, Fjelstad '671 teaches (at Figs 2A-2E,2F, col 5, lines 26-65; Figs 1A-1G-1,1D-3; col 1-5; col 3, line 53 through col 5) a method for forming a package for an electrical device, the method comprising the steps of attaching a removable material (100',115' in Fig 2A; 100 in Fig 1) to a surface of a conductive material 101 (Fig 2A; col 5, lines 26-65), wherein the removable material of polyimide, polymer material, polyetherimide, fluropolymer are adhesive that can be soluble and dissolving in a specific etching solution (col 5, lines 60-65), inherently; forming isolated conductive features (110' in Figs 2B,2F; 110 in Figs 1B,1D-3) within said conductive material; attaching encapsulant (140' in Fig 2D; 140 in Fig 1E) to the isolated conductive features 110',115',110 and the removable material; and removing the removable material from the conductive features 110',115',110 and the encapsulant (Figs 1E-1F; col 4, line 66 through col 5; Fig 2F, col 5, lines 60-65; Fig 2E, col 5, lines 45-65). Re claim 2, wherein the step of forming isolated conductive pad features includes patterning and selectively etching of a metal deposit layer using photolithographic technique (col 5, lines 26-40; Figs 2A-2B), which photolithographic techniques is inherently performed by patterning a surface of the conductive material with a material resistant to an etchant and etching the conductive material with the etchant. Re claim 3, wherein a die attach pad 115' is formed within said conductive material (Figs 2A-2C). Re claim 4, wherein the device 120' is coupled to said die attach pad 115' (Figs 2C). Re claim 5, wherein an input/output portion of the device 120' is electrically coupled to said isolated conductive feature 110' (Figs 2C,2F,1D-3). Re claim 6, wherein the method further comprises the step of singulating individual packaged devices (Figs 1F,1G-2; col 5, lines 10-65). Re claim 16, wherein the removable material 100',100 is used and acted as a molding stencil during molding of encapsulant 140',140 (Fig 1E;2D; col 4, lines 56-65; col 5, lines 26-

Art Unit: 2822

65). Re claim 20, wherein the conductive material 101', 101 of metal deposit comprises a metal frame sheet (Figs 2A-2F, 1D-1F). Re claim 21, wherein the conductive material of metal deposit film comprises and acts as a metal leadframe (Figs 2A-2F, 1A-1F, Figs 1D-3). Re claim 22, wherein die attach pad 115' is not offset from the isolated conductive features 110' (Figs 2B-2C; 2F; 1D-3). Re claim 23, wherein a single row of connectors 110', 110 is formed around perimeter of the leadframe (Figs 2F, 2B, 1D-2). Re claim 24, wherein the metal frame comprise a metal sheet by metal deposition (Fig 2A; col 5, lines 26-45). Re claim 25, wherein multiple rows of connectors 110, 110' are formed around a peripheral of the metal sheet (Figs 1D-3, 2B, 2F; col 4, lines 1-31). Re claim 26, wherein the removable material 100, 100' covers substantially the entire bottom surface of the metal lead frame (Figs 2A-2F, 1C-1E). Re claim 27, wherein the electronic device 120' is coupled to the die attach pad 115' via a conductive epoxy (Fig 2C, 1C; col 5, lines 35-45; col 4, lines 32-45).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. Claims 7-8 and 17, and claims 1-6, 16, 20-26, 27 also, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad (6,001,671) taken with Wyland (6,111,199) and Weng et al (5,972,234).

Fjelstad '671 teaches a method for forming a package for an electrical device as described to claims 1-6, 16, 20-26, 27 above, and repeated herein.

Fjelstad already teaches (at col 3, lines 55-67) the removable sacrificial material 100, 100' comprising a polymer material including polyimide, wherein the polymer sheet 100' is removed by chemically etching. However, Fjelstad lacks mentioning, in claim 7, the removable material comprising a water soluble adhesive (re claims 7-8, 17); in Claim 8 removing the removable material with deionized water; and in Claim 17 the removable material comprising polyimide and water soluble adhesive.

However, *Wyland et al* teach (at col 8, lines 1-10; col 7, lines 54-67) forming a removable adhesive resin film on a substrate, wherein polyimide, alkali-soluble resin, or water-soluble resin are alternatively used for forming the adhesive resin film. *Weng* teaches (col 5, lines 34-37, 27-51; and col 4, line 25 through col 5, line 51) the removable material for electronic

Art Unit: 2822

device comprises a polymeric-base material and a water soluble adhesive, wherein removing the removable adhesive material is performed with deionized water (as a pure water).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the removable material Fjelstad by employing a water-soluble adhesive of resin and polyimide, as taught by Wyland and Weng. This is because the substitute art recognized equivalent removable materials, as alternative materials, is within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art, wherein water-soluble resin material or polyimide are highly adhesive to the terminals of the lead frames, wherein, by using water soluble resin/adhesive, removing the removable materials can be easily and conveniently performed with water, as further taught by Weng, and less expensive, wherein with the use of deionized water, as a high purity water, ion contamination of the device is prevented and thereby improving reliability and quality.

3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad (6,001,671) taken with Roche et al (4,530,152).

Fjelstad '671 teaches (at Figs 2A-2E,2F, col 5, lines 26-65; Figs 1A-1G-1,1D-3; col 1-5; col 3, line 53 through col 5) a method for forming a package for an electrical device, the method comprising the steps of attaching a removable material (100',115' in Fig 2A; 100 in Fig 1) to a surface of a conductive material 101 (Fig 2A; col 5, lines 26-65) before one or more isolated conductive features 110' have been formed within the conductive material (Fig 2B), wherein the removable material of polyimide, polymer material, polyetherimide, fluropolymer are adhesive that can be soluble and dissolving in a specific etching solution (col 5, lines 60-65), inherently; forming isolated conductive features (110' in Figs 2B,2F; 110 in Figs 1B,1D-3) within said conductive material; attaching encapsulant (140' in Fig 2D; 140 in Fig 1E) to the isolated conductive features 110',115',110 and the removable material, wherein the attaching step is performed before a singulation process is performed to separate the package; and removing the removable material from the conductive features 110',115',110 and the encapsulant (Figs 1E-1F; col 4, line 66 through col 5; Fig 2F, col 5, lines 60-65; Fig 2E, col 5, lines 45-65).

Re claim 28, Fjelstad already teaches removing the removable material from the conductive features 110',115',110 and the encapsulant (Figs 1E-1F; col 4, line 66 through col 5;

Art Unit: 2822

Fig 2F,2E, col 5, lines 60-65; 45-65), but lacks mentioning to remove the removable material after the singulation process.

However, Roche teaches removing the removable sacrificial material from the conductive features 2 and the encapsulant 5 (col 3, line 62 through col 4, line 25), wherein the removing the material step can be performed before or after the singulation process for separating the package (col 4, lines 20-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the removable material of Fjelstad either after a singulation process to separate the package or prior to a singulation process as alternatively taught by Roche. This is because removing the removable material either after or prior to the singulation process are alternative and art recognized equivalent processes for substitution in fabrication of the electronic device, and because of the desirability to expose a portion of the metal lead frame and conductive features for subsequent electrical connection, wherein by removing the removable material after singulation process, the removable material would still cover and thereby consequently protect the isolated conductive features and metal lead frame from being contaminated during singulation process.

### ***Response to Arguments***

**\*\*** Applicant's amendment and remarks filed October 10, 2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant remarked that (10/120/05 remark page 11) in Fjelstad, "...dielectric polymer sheet 100' is not a soluble adhesive that can be removable by hot water....".

In response, first, claimed subject matter, not the specification, is the measure of invention. Limitations in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. In *Re Self*, 213 USPQ 1,5 (CCPA 1982); In *Re Priest*, 199 USPQ 11,15 (CCPA 1978). Second, Fjelstad clearly teaches (at col 5, lines 60-65) that "...the entire polymer sheet 100' may simply be removed by chemically dissolving the sheet...". Moreover, the polymer sheet can be dissolved and soluble in a specific chemical etching solution, inherently. Indeed, nowhere in the base claim 1 recites "hot water" for removing the removable material.

Art Unit: 2822

Moreover, by using chemical etching to remove the removable material of Fjelstad, the removable material is dissolved and soluble in that chemical etching solution.

Additional, under 35 USC 103, *Wyland* clearly teach (at col 8, lines 1-10; col 7, lines 54-67) forming a removable adhesive resin film on a substrate, wherein polyimide, alkali-soluble resin, or water-soluble resin are alternatively used for forming the adhesive resin film. *Weng* teaches (col 5, lines 34-37, 27-51; and col 4, line 25 through col 5, line 51) the removable material for electronic device comprises a polymeric-base material and a water soluble adhesive, wherein removing the removable adhesive material is performed with deionized water (as a pure water). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the removable material Fjelstad by providing a water-soluble adhesive of resin and polyimide, as taught by *Wyland* and *Weng*. This is because the substitute art recognized equivalent removable materials, as alternative materials, is within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art, wherein water-soluble resin material or polyimide are highly adhesive to the terminals of the lead frames, wherein, by using water soluble resin/adhesive, removing the removable materials can be easily and conveniently performed with water, as further taught by *Weng*, and less expensive, wherein with the use of deionized water, as a high purity water, ion contamination of the device is prevented and thereby improving reliability and quality.

\*\*\*\*\*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 Am to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The central fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).  
Oacs-15-18



Michael Trinh  
Primary Examiner